

FES Stage 3: Overwrite Layer

From Fractal Stream to Logically Impenetrable Ciphertext

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Role of Stage 3 in FES	3
3	High-Level Overwrite Model	4
3.1	Multi-Pass Design	4
4	Pre-Overwrite Transformations	5
4.1	Bit Swap Priming (FBLIT)	5
4.2	Entropy-Based Reordering	5
5	Overwrite Transform Families	6
5.1	Substitution-Based Overwrite	6
5.2	XOR Overwrite	6
5.3	Additive Overwrite	6
5.4	Bit-Split Permutation	7
5.5	Bit Warp Overwrite	7
6	Achieving Logical Impenetrability	8
6.1	Equal-Likelihood Plaintext Ambiguity	8
6.2	AES Comparison	8
7	Quantum Proof Behaviour	9
8	Interaction with Stages 1 and 2	10
9	Conclusion	11

1 Introduction

This document presents **Stage 3** of the Fractal Encryption Standard (FES): the *Overwrite Layer*. Having obtained a high-entropy *Fractal Stream* from the Hyperchaotic Fractal Navigation (HFN) engine in Stage 2, FES Stage 3 applies this stream to the payload using a configurable family of nonlinear overwrite functions.

The goal of Stage 3 is to transform plaintext into ciphertext such that:

all possible plaintexts are equally consistent with the ciphertext under some key and configuration.

This property, combined with the Ultra Entropic Chaos (UEC) produced by HFN, enables FES to achieve:

- **logical impenetrability,**
- **practical Shannon-perfect secrecy,**
- **quantum-proof behaviour,**
- and full exploitation of the UEC entropy class.

Stage 3 is not a single operation, but a *pipeline of overwrite transformations* applied in multiple passes over the payload, each driven by the Fractal Stream.

Proprietary implementation details (such as exact byte positions, ordering algorithms, and internal state representations) are not disclosed in this document. The focus is on conceptual structure and security properties.

2 Role of Stage 3 in FES

FES is composed of three stages:

Stage 1: Input Layer (Silos, password expansion, portal assembly),

Stage 2: Hyperchaotic Fractal Navigation (HFN),

Stage 3: Overwrite Layer (this paper).

Stage 3 receives:

- the raw payload (plaintext or intermediate buffer),
- the Fractal Stream produced by Stage 2,
- optional per-byte ordering metrics derived in Stage 2,
- and configuration flags governing which overwrite functions to apply.

Its objectives are to:

- fully mix payload data with the fractal entropy,
- eliminate structural artefacts of the original payload,
- prevent any key-ciphertext relationship from being exploitable,
- and realise equal-likelihood plaintext ambiguity.

Stage 3 is thus the point where *entropy becomes encryption*.

3 High-Level Overwrite Model

At a high level, Stage 3 performs the following operations:

1. **Generate the Fractal Stream** for the full payload length (using Stage 2).
2. **Optionally prime bit-level swaps** based on stream values.
3. **Optionally sort or reorder** payload positions using entropy-derived ordering metrics.
4. **Apply a configurable combination of overwrite transforms** (substitution, XOR, additive, and bit-structural).
5. **Optionally apply higher-order bit warping** for additional diffusion.

Each pass through this pipeline processes the entire payload. Multiple passes may be configured, with each pass using a different slice or view of the Fractal Stream.

3.1 Multi-Pass Design

Stage 3 operates in *passes*. For each pass:

- the Fractal Stream length matches the payload length x passes,
- the payload buffer is optionally reordered,
- one or more overwrite transforms are applied to each byte.

The final ciphertext emerges from the cumulative effect of all passes. This design allows FES to:

- stack multiple nonlinear transformations,
- increase diffusion without sacrificing performance,
- and adapt security profiles to different use cases.

FES ensures complete entropy coverage by generating the Fractal Stream to exactly match the payload length across all passes. For each pass, every payload byte receives a unique entropy byte derived from hyperchaotic navigation, ensuring continuous and non-repeating entropy throughout the operation. This avoids the entropy thinning and periodicity issues inherent in classical PRNG- and block-cipher-based keystreams.

4 Pre-Overwrite Transformations

Before per-byte overwrites are applied, Stage 3 may perform two classes of pre-transforms: *bit swap priming* and *entropy-based reordering*.

4.1 Bit Swap Priming (FBLIT)

An optional priming function performs bit-level swaps between the payload and Fractal Stream according to a deterministic pattern derived from the stream. This FES Bit-Level Information Transfer (FBLIT) step:

- introduces early diffusion across payload positions,
- creates cross-coupling between payload bits and fractal bits,
- and prepares the buffer for subsequent nonlinear overwrites.

4.2 Entropy-Based Reordering

Stage 2 may attach per-byte ordering metrics to the Fractal Stream, derived from internal fractal state interactions. Stage 3 can use these metrics to:

- sort or permute the payload byte positions,
- re-map payload indices before overwrite,
- break all sequential structure (e.g., headers, markers, alignment).

Conceptually, the payload buffer is first copied, then reordered according to the entropy-derived indices. This ensures that:

even before bitwise overwrite, the payload is already entangled and structurally obscured by the fractal dynamics.

5 Overwrite Transform Families

The core of Stage 3 is a configurable set of overwrite transforms applied at the byte level, driven by the Fractal Stream. These transforms are designed to be:

- nonlinear,
- invertible under the correct key and configuration,
- and structurally ambiguous under any incorrect key.

5.1 Substitution-Based Overwrite

A substitution mode uses the Fractal Stream to index into a substitution table. In simplified form:

- a payload byte is combined with a stream byte (e.g., via modular addition),
- the result indexes a substitution table,
- the substituted value replaces the original payload byte.

This is analogous to a stream-driven S-box, but:

- the driving stream is UEC-based, not PRNG-based,
- the substitution table is context-dependent,
- and the combination may depend on the current pass.

5.2 XOR Overwrite

A classic but still valuable primitive is XOR:

`cipher_byte = payload_byte XOR stream_byte.`

In FES, this is not the sole transform, but a component of a composite overwrite pipeline. When combined with UEC, XOR alone already approximates one-time-pad behaviour; with additional transforms, it becomes part of a stronger nonlinear system.

5.3 Additive Overwrite

An additive mode treats payload bytes as small integers and:

- uses stream bytes (possibly offset by neighbouring positions) as additive masks,
- applies modular addition with wraparound,
- writes the resulting values back into the payload buffer.

This creates position-dependent interference patterns and amplifies local nonlinearity.

5.4 Bit-Split Permutation

A bit-splitting mode divides each payload byte into upper and lower bit groups according to a split point derived from the stream. In concept:

- a split position (e.g., between bit 0 and bit 7) is chosen from stream data,
- bits above and below the split are separated,
- their positions are permuted and recombined,
- the result overwrites the original payload byte.

This introduces intra-byte permutation that is:

- driven by high-entropy stream values,
- unpredictable without the exact stream,
- and different for each pass and position.

5.5 Bit Warp Overwrite

A higher-order bit-warp mode applies complex bit rearrangements that may:

- move bits across byte boundaries,
- entangle payload bits with stream bits,
- and derive transformation structure from a combination of passes, stream positions, and configuration.

The result is a final overwrite that leaves no simple relation between plaintext bit positions and ciphertext bit positions.

6 Achieving Logical Impenetrability

Stage 3’s overwrite behaviour is designed to ensure that, for a given ciphertext:

any candidate plaintext is consistent with some key and configuration.

Equivalently:

- all possible plaintexts are equally likely from the ciphertext alone,
- there is no correctness oracle,
- ciphertext reveals no preference for the original plaintext.

6.1 Equal-Likelihood Plaintext Ambiguity

The combination of:

- Ultra Entropic Chaos (UEC) from HFN,
- multi-pass nonlinear overwrites,
- entropy-derived reordering,
- and bit-level permutations,

ensures that:

- the mapping from plaintext to ciphertext is many-to-many,
- the wrong key produces fully plausible alternative plaintexts,
- no structural bias remains that could identify the “correct” plaintext.

This is the operational realization of Shannon’s perfect secrecy requirements: the ciphertext does not change the probability of any particular plaintext.

6.2 AES Comparison

In AES and similar schemes:

- exactly one key produces a sensible plaintext,
- all other keys yield high-entropy noise,
- this creates a correctness oracle for attackers (classical and quantum).

In FES:

- every key produces a structurally coherent plaintext,
- the ciphertext does not distinguish the original,
- the correctness oracle is removed.

Stage 3 is therefore critical in eliminating the fundamental weakness shared by all finite-key block ciphers: the uniqueness of the correct key.

7 Quantum Proof Behaviour

As described in the FES Executive Summary, one of the most serious emerging threats is *Quantum Key Extraction* (QKE), where quantum algorithms exploit plaintext-validity structure to extract keys faster than classical models predict.

Stage 3 neutralises QKE by:

- erasing any structural difference between correct and incorrect plaintext outputs,
- ensuring that every decryption under an incorrect key yields some valid, semi-valid, or random-looking plaintext,
- preventing the construction of any quantum correctness oracle based on ciphertext.

From the point of view of a quantum adversary:

there is no observable that distinguishes the “real” decryption from countless plausible alternatives.

This elevates FES beyond quantum-safe schemes (which are still based on computational assumptions) to a **quantum-proof** system based on logical impossibility.

8 Interaction with Stages 1 and 2

Stage 3 relies on the prior stages for:

- high-entropy, structurally independent Fractal Portals (Stage 1),
- Ultra Entropic Chaos Fractal Streams (Stage 2),
- optional ordering metrics derived from fractal dynamics.

Stage 1 removes password structure and produces isolated, context-bound portals.

Stage 2 converts those portals into hyperchaotic trajectories and raw entropy.

Stage 3 converts that entropy into logically impenetrable ciphertext.

The three stages are inseparable in the security model of FES.

9 Conclusion

FES Stage 3, the Overwrite Layer, is the final and decisive step by which the Fractal Encryption Standard achieves its unique security properties. By applying UEC-derived Fractal Streams to payload data through multiple, configurable, nonlinear overwrite transforms, Stage 3:

- eradicates structural traces of the original plaintext,
- removes any correctness oracle for attackers,
- ensures equal-likelihood plaintext ambiguity,
- realizes Shannon-perfect secrecy in a practical framework,
- and completes the FES logical impenetrability model.

Where traditional systems such as AES rely on computational difficulty and remain vulnerable to future breakthroughs in mathematics and quantum computation, FES Stage 3, in concert with Stages 1 and 2, establishes a new paradigm of encryption based on logical impossibility.

For research collaboration or technical discussion:

info@portalz.solutions